

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                     | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/609,431                          | 06/27/2003  | Michelle D. Castro   | 00-0122                 | 7960             |
| 7590 05/11/2004                     |             | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| Michelle D. Castro 11 Valley Avenue |             |                      | SAKRAN, VICTOR N        |                  |
| Locust Valley, NY 11560             |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| -                                   |             |                      | 3677                    |                  |
|                                     |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |  | 10/609,431   | CASTRO, MICHELLE D.  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   |  | VICTOR N SAKRAN  | 3677   |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| THE - Extermine - If the - If NC - Failt Any - earn   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t<br>ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro<br>e, cause the application to become ABANDON | imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ´—  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under t   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   | 103 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠   | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)□   | Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |
| ,   |  | 1  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ion Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)[2]  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   |  | · ·  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(  | a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority document   | ts have been received.   |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority document   | ts have been received in Applica   | tion No  |  |  |  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   | -  | ved in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
| * (   | application from the International Burea   |  | and.   |  |  |  |
|   | See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | of the certified copies not receive  | reu.   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  1) Notice  | t(s)<br>e of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) 🔲 Interview Summar  | ny (PTO-413)   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail [   | Date   |  |  |  |
|   | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>er No(s)/Mail Date 27 <i>June 2003.</i>  | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:  | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |
| C Dotont and T  |  | -,   |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3677

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The instant application contains a power of attorney to Ivar M. Kaardal who has been excluded from practice before the Patent and Trademark Office (Office). The Office does not communicate with attorneys or agents who have been suspended or excluded from practice. Accordingly, the Office action is being mailed to you as the inventor.

Applicant may, of course, file a new power of attorney in the application to have a registered attorney or agent represent you before the Office. In the absence of an attorney or agent of record, all amendments and other papers filed in the application must be signed: (1) by you; or (2) if there is an assignee of record of an undivided part interest, by you and such assignee; or (3) if there is an assignee of the entire interest, by such assignee; or (4) by a registered patent attorney or agent, not of record, who acts in a representative capacity under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.34(a). The Office will not hold telephone interviews with or send communications to a registered patent attorney or agent, acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34, i.e., who is not of record in the application.

Applicant may obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in your area by consulting the USPTO web site, http://www.uspto.gov, or by calling the Office of Enrollment and Discipline at (703) 306-4097.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3677

Claim 1, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Cartelli U. S. Patent No. 6,381,752; see Figures 6, 7; column 4, lines 8-19, and claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norris U. S. Patent No. 5,590,545 in view of Cartelli U. S. Patent No. 6,381,752.

Norris discloses Applicant's claimed combination of a strap retainer comprising a retaining member (11) having elongated main portion (17) and opposite end

Art Unit: 3677

potions for retaining said strap, wherein each of said end portions including prongs (29,37,33,41) extending outwardly from said elongated main portion defining a slot therebetween for releasably receiving said strap; see Figures 2, 3; column 3, lines 16-23 and 50-59, except for the particular use of the strap retainer for keeping straps of a bra on a user's back in proximate relationship to one another. Cartelli teaches the use of a bar strap retainer comprising a retaining member (60) having an elongated main portion and opposite end portions which are adapted to keep straps of a bra on a user's back in proximate relationship to one another; see Figures 6,7; column 4, lines 8-19, and claim 1. it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the strap retainer member in Norris for keeping straps of a bra on a user's back in proximate relationship to one another in the manner taught, disclosed and suggested by Cartelli, and/or vice versa by merely using the strap retainer (11) of Norris for the strap retainer (60) in Cartelli, especially, since such modification involves only routine skill in the art.

Furthermore, the particular use of a strap retainer for retaining a strap of a bra or other lingerie items is considered to be no more than a matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill in such art.

Claims 4-7, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the same references as applied to claims 1-3, above, and further in view of Wyeth U.S. Patent No. 1,401,227 who teaches the use of strap retainer (3) having an

Art Unit: 3677

elongated main portion (4) and opposite end portions (5), wherein each of said end portions includes C-shaped prongs (7), wherein said prongs of one end portion facing the other prongs of the other end portion; see Figures 1-3; page 1, lines 30-53, and to further modify the shape of the prongs in Norris in the manner taught, disclosed and suggested by Wyeth, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Furthermore, Applicant is reminded that in considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teaching of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom; see In re Preda, 401 F2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342,344 (CCPA1968).

Moreover, the particular shape of the various elements is considered to be no more than a matter of design choice obvious to one having ordinary skill within the art at the time the invention was made, especially, since it has been held that the particular change in shape of an element in a prior art device is such a change considered no more than an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill within in the art. See In Re Dailey, 357 F. 2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1954).

Furthermore, the particular range of dimensions of the retaining member as recited in claim 7, are considered to be no more than an obvious matter of design choice; especially, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a

Art Unit: 3677

claim are disclosed in the prior art, therefore, discovering the optimum or workable ranges is also involves only routine skill in the art. See In Re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's attention is directed to the art cited herein, and of record, as showing structure related to Applicant's disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VICTOR N SAKRAN whose telephone number is 703-308-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. swann can be reached on 703-308-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3677

Page 7

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 28, 2004

VICTOR N SAKRAN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677